



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

27 JAN 2006

#4  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP  
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW  
STE 1750  
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948

In re Application of WHITE et al :  
U.S. Application No.: 10/518,188 :  
PCT Application No.: PCT/US03/19290 :  
Int. Filing Date: 19 June 2003 : DECISION  
Priority Date Claimed: 19 June 2002 :  
Attorney Docket No.: 062020-1800 :  
For: ADSORBENTS, METHODS OF :  
PREPARATION, AND METHODS OF USE :  
THEREOF :

This is in response to applicant's "Petition Under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a) Regarding Uncooperative Inventor" filed 18 January 2006.

**BACKGROUND**

On 19 June 2003, applicant filed international application PCT/US03/19290, which claimed priority of an earlier United States application filed 19 June 2002. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired on 19 December 2004.

On 16 December 2004, applicant filed national stage papers in the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US). The submission was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1).

On 03 August 2005, the DO/EO/US mailed a Notification of Missing Requirements Under 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905), which indicated that an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497 must be filed.

On 18 January 2006, applicant filed the present petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

**DISCUSSION**

A petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) must be accompanied by: (1) an oath or declaration by each applicant on his or her own behalf and on behalf of the nonsigning joint inventors, (2)

factual proof that the missing joint inventors refuse to join in the application or cannot be reached after diligent effort, (3) the fee set forth in §1.17(i), and (4) the last known addresses of the nonsigning joint inventors.

With regard to item (1) above, applicant has submitted a declaration signed by the available inventors, each on his/her own behalf and on behalf of the nonsigning inventor.

With regard to item (2) above, MPEP 409.03(d) states in relevant part,

Where a refusal to sign the application papers is alleged, the circumstances of this refusal must be specified in an affidavit or declaration by the person to whom the refusal was made. Statements by a party not present when an oral refusal is made will not be accepted.

Before a refusal can be alleged, it must be demonstrated that a bona fide attempt was made to present a copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to the nonsigning inventor for signature. A copy of the application papers should be sent to the last known address of the nonsigning inventor, or, if the nonsigning inventor is represented by counsel, to the address of the nonsigning inventor's attorney.

When there is an express oral refusal, that fact along with the time and place of the refusal must be stated in the affidavit or declaration. When there is an express written refusal, a copy of the document evidencing that refusal must be made part of the affidavit or declaration.

When it is concluded by the 37 CFR 1.47 applicant that a nonsigning inventor's conduct constitutes a refusal, all facts upon which that conclusion is based should be stated in the affidavit or declaration. If there is documentary evidence to support facts alleged in the affidavit or declaration, such evidence should be submitted. Whenever a nonsigning inventor gives a reason for refusing to sign the application oath or declaration, that reason should be stated in the affidavit or declaration.

The petition states that joint inventor Robert Chiang refuses to sign the application papers. Petitioner has adequately shown that a bona fide attempt was made to present a copy of the application papers to Chiang for signature and that Chiang actually received such papers (see affidavit of Geoffrey Chase, ¶¶2,4). Furthermore, petitioner has sufficiently demonstrated that Chiang refuses to sign. Specifically, Chiang made an express oral refusal to sign during a conversation with Geoffrey Chase (see Chase affidavit, ¶3). Thus, it can be concluded with reasonable certainty that Chiang refuses to join in the application.

With regard to item (3) above, applicant has provided the requisite petition fee.

With regard to item (4) above, the petition does not state the last known address of the nonsigning inventor.

### CONCLUSION

For the reasons above, the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is DISMISSED without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of this petition is desired, a proper response must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)". No additional petition fee is required.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.



Bryan Tung  
PCT Legal Examiner  
PCT Legal Office

Telephone: 571-272-3303  
Facsimile: 571-273-0459